	$4 \nu k \overline{2} - \nu t \overline{2}$
氏 名	$\vec{\mathrm{INDRA}} \vec{\mathrm{PURNAMA}}$
所 属	都市環境科学研究科 都市環境科学専攻 分子応用化学域
学位の種類	博士(工学)
学位記番号	都市環境博 第246号
学位授与の日付	平成 30 年 9 月 30 日
課程・論文の別	学位規則第4条第1項該当
学位論文題名	Photo-electrochemical devices for light-powered
	hydrogen production from water
	(水からの光駆動型水素発生のための光電気化学デバイス)
論文審査委員	主查 准教授 Jacob Yan Mulyana
	委員 教 授 高木 慎介
	委員 教 授 久保 由治
	委員 特任教授 井上 晴夫

【論文の内容の要旨】

Solar water splitting to produce hydrogen represents one of the most promising renewable energy source to eventually achieve a sustainable environment. Since the initiation of the photo-induced water splitting by Honda and Fujishima (*Nature*, 1972, 238, 37) using TiO₂, many researchers have developed new and improved dye-sensitized photoelectrochemical cells (DSPECs), mimicking the natural process of photosynthesis (*Chem. Rev.*, 2015, 115, 13012). This project aims at developing new and robust DSPECs through the combination of ruthenium polypyridyl complexes as photosensitizers with appropriate metal oxide semiconductors such as TiO₂, ITO and BiVO₄. Such the hybrid approach targets device robustness by synthesizing new hydrophobic photosensitizers, which harvest light more efficiently and can survive under constant light irradiation. The project also targets the coupling of BiVO₄ semiconductor with TiO₂ or ITO nanoparticle to construct more efficient photoanodes upon interaction with metal complexes.

Chapter 1 (Introduction) provides the literature review of current progress in artificial photosynthesis, a variety of metal-oxide semiconductors for water splitting, recent development ofruthenium complexes for use as photosensitizers and catalysts and the review of the different types of dye-sensitized photoelectrochemical cells (DSPECs) for hydrogen production using light energy. **Chapter 2** and **Chapter 3** discuss about the DSPECs incorporating a family of ruthenium complexes $[Ru^{II}(bipyP)(bipy)_2]$ (P1), $[Ru^{II}(bipyP)(dmb)_2]$ (P2), $[Ru^{II}(bipyP)(dtbb)_2]$ (P3) and $[Ru^{II}(bipyP)(dnb)_2]$ (P4) where bipyP = 2, 2'-bipyridine-4, 4'-diphosphonic acid; bipy = 2, 2'-bipyridine; dmb = 4, 4'-dimethyl-2, 2'-bipyridine; dnb =

In Chapter 2, the discussion is focused on the performance comparison between DSPEC with P1 and that with P4. desorption study in pH 5.9 and pH 8.1 solutions showed that $FT0/Ti0_2/P4$ photoanode is better retained on the titania surface in comparison with $FT0/Ti0_2/P1$. When measured using Na₂SO4 0.1 M electrolyte (pH 5.9), the immobilized P4 in $FT0/Ti0_2/P4$ electrode displays higher Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} oxidation potential (1.47 V vs. NHE) than that of the P1 counterpart (1.33 V vs. NHE). The DSPEC assembled with $FT0/Ti0_2/P4$ electrode shows greater photocurrent densities, higher amount of hydrogen gas, better Faradaic efficiency and greater photostability during the photolysis experiments at 0.2 V NHE (This work has been published in *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* 2018, 471, 467)

Chapter 3 is concerned with the detailed evaluation of the energetics of the photosensitizers on TiO_2 surface in relation to the variation in the alkyl chain, which influence the DSPEC performance. The increasing number of the alkyl chain of the ancillary bipy ligand shift the ground- and excited-state potentials to the more negative values although the introduction of the longer nonyl chain in P4 shows the opposite effect. In *do*-DSPECs, the photocurrent and hydrogen production performance follows the order P4>P3>P2>P1, which correlate well with the excited state lifetimes of the dyes in solution and in TiO_2 film.

The photoelectrochemistry of the *sa*-DSPECs reveals 10 times as much photocurrents as those measured in *do*-DSPECs suggesting the ability of the photo-oxidized dye (hole) to oxidize EDTA molecule. The hydrogen production performance of the *sa*-DSPECS over three hours follows the order **P2**>**P1**>**P3**>**P4**, which was consistent with the energy required for the dyes to self-exchange from Ru^{III} to Ru^{II} on titania surface. As measured by temperature-dependent cyclic voltammetry, such the reorganization energies for **P1**, **P2**, **P3** and **P4** were found to be 2753 \pm 95, 2062 \pm 62, 2507 \pm 114 and 3421 \pm 80, respectively, which gives evidence that **P2** dye has the lowest energetic cost required for the Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} self-exchange process, in contrast to the high value for **P4**. This study provides evidence that the subtle alkyl chain variation of the ruthenium photosensitizers can fine tune the electron injection capacity, Ru^{III}/Ru^{III}/Ru^{III} self-exchange energetics and photostability of the complexes, which greatly influence the performance of the DSPECs (manuscript submitted to *Inorg. Chem.*)

In Chapter 4, the detailed evaluation of the photo-electrochemical performance of $BiVO_4/TiO_2$ and $BiVO_4/ITO$ heterojunction photoanodes in combination with cobalt phosphate or TCPP complex water oxidation catalysts will be discussed (on-going work)